

## **6.3.5 NON TEACHING STAFF APPRAISAL**



## **INDEX PAGE**

S.NO	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
1	DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING	2-6
2	DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING	7-59
3	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING	60-93
4	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING	94-133
5	DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING	134-150
6	DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND HUMANITIES	151-171







# ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-202 (ODD / EVEN)

## SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

## Name of the Staff : M. Vijay DOJ : 24/07/19

## (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8-
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	7
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	コ

Outstanding

(41-50)

(31-40)

Very Good

(21-30)

Good

Poor (<20)

æ

Feedback: Form - E

CERT

150 9901



# nernan Chennal)

## ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -20 19ODD / EVEN)

## SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: Gr. Prithivi Anand DOJ : 24107/2017

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
з.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31 - 40)


(21-30)

Good

Poor

(<20)

Q Baran



Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good (31-40) Good Poor (21-30) (<20)

a Bornen

Feedback: Form -



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2011 -2018 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: G. Prithin Anand DOJ : 24.07.2017

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

Very Good

(41-50)

(31-40)

Good Poor (21-30) (<20)

Buaren 2

Feedback: Form - E



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Salavanan

DOJ : 21.3.2016

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	Ş
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good (31-40) Good Poor (21-30) (<20)

Buaran 2



## SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD



.

## DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

## ACADEMIC YEAR 2020 - 2021(EVEN)

S.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
1.	R.Thiagarajan	System Admin
z.	5.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
3.	C.Periasami	Technical Assistant
	·	I

	ACADEMIC YEAR 2020 -2021(ODD / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name DOJ	of the Staff : R. Thiagarajan : 3.9.2019 (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	-
5.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
ι.	Does the stan available during the entire lab time:	
2.	Knowledge           Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	47
	Knowledge	
2. 	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported? Communication	.47.

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-202 ((ODD / EVEN)	
	SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
log	of the Staff: S. Solvakoman : 7.01.2008	
	(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	
S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	Le Le
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	43
		<b>⊥</b> . <u> </u>
 1.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	

-

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	ACADEMIC YEAR 20 20 -202-\(ODD / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name DÖJ	of the Staff : C. periasami : 10.9.2019	
S.NO	(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	Mark
 1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	 L3 9
 7.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	. 48
	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	49
3.		
3. 4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	<u>; 46</u>

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

나 - 국고왕 김 니 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2020 - 2021(ODD)

\$.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
1.	R.Thiagarajan	System Admin
2.	S.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
3.	C.Periasami	Technical Assistant
		<u> </u>

	ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021(ODD / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name	of the Staff: R. Thiaganajan	
DOJ	: 3 9 2019	
(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)		
5.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	યલ
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	47
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	44
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	46
_	Effectiveness	

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)


.

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	Level .	
	ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021(ODD / EVEN)	
	SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name	of the Staff: S. Selvatuman	
DOJ	: 7.01·2008	
	(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	_
S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported <sup>5</sup>	45
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	41-
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	41
	Effectiveness	

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



.

## DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

## ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 - 2020(EVEN)

## <u>NAME-L</u>IST

5.N0	NAME	DESIGNATION
— <sub>1.</sub> —	R.Thiagarajan	System Admin
<u> </u>	S.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
·	C.Períasami	Technical Assistant
<b>4</b> . –	P.Kamaraj –	Technical Assistant
<u> </u>	K.Kaliyamoorthi	Attender
i	<u> </u>	!

	ACADEMIC YEAR 2014 -2020(ODD / EVEN)	
	SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name DOJ	e of the Staff : R. Thiagarajan : 3.9.2019 (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	
s.no	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	49
- 3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
<b>.</b>	Relationship	44
4.	Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

8-5181820 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -202 (ODD / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name	of the Staff: S. Solvateumar	
DOJ	: 7.01.2008	
	(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	<del>.</del> .
S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
 1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	<u></u> <0
<b>Z</b> .	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	47
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	بريم
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	46

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -20 2(ODD / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name DOJ	e of the Staff : C・Peru'a Samu : 10・9・2019 (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	
S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
_	Knowledge	47 47
2.	Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	1 94
2.  3.	Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?         Communication         Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	43
	Communication	

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-5C)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	KINGS E	
	ACADEMIC YEAR 2014 -2020(OOD / EVEN)	
	SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name	of the Staff : P Kamaaaj	
DOJ	: 1.1.2012	
	(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	
S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	4 49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	- 43
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	_4 2_
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	49

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	ACADEMIC YEAR 2020 -2020(ODD / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
DOJ	of the Staff : K. Kaliyamooth' : 12.03.2010 (Give ralings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	
5.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	L
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	<u></u>
	Relationship	46
4,	Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21- <b>30</b> )	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 - 2020(0DD)

S.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
1.	R.Thlagarajan	System Admin
2.	S.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
3.	C.Periasami	Technical Assistant
4.	P.Kamaraj	Technical Assistant
5.	K.Kaliyamoorthi –	Attender
		,,,,,,, !

ACADEMIC YEAR 20 19 -202 (000 / EVEN)		
	SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name DO}	e of the Staff : R. Thiagazajan : 3.9.2019 (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	
5.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2	Knowledge           Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	44
2  3.		<u>44</u>
	Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	· · · · ·

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(71-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

.

ACADEMIC YEAR 2014 -202 (ODD / EVEN)		
	SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
BI	of the Staff: S-Selva Kuman	
Name DOJ	: 7.01.2008	
	(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	_
s.no	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	<u>ג א</u> ין
2	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	43
 3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively <sup>9</sup>	47
		I
4.	Relationship I is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	46

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	ACADEMIC YEAR 2014 -2020(ODD / EVEN)	
	SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
	of the Staff: C. Peria Sami	
)OJ	: 10.9.2019	
	(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	· .
.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	+ _ [8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	46
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	44
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	47

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

5-327/12/19 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2014 -2020 ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: p Kamaray DOJ : 1.1.2012

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	49
З.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	43
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	   418
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining (he Lab.	<u>42</u>

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

A 11-119 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	ACADEMIC YEAR 20 10-2010(ODD / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name DOJ	e of the Staff : K. Kaliyamoorthi : 12.03.2.0(0 (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	
5.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	41
	Communication Does the stalf able to communicate effectively?	44
3.		
3. 4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	<u> </u>

utstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(3*-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

チーゴーデディ(19 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 - 2019(EVEN)

5.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
— <u>1.</u>	S.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
· <u> </u>	P.Kamaraj	Technical Assistant
- <u>-</u> 3,	K.Kaliyamoorthi	Attender
	l	

	ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019(ODD / EVEN)	
	SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name	of the staff: S. Selva Kumar	
DOJ	: 7.01.2008	
	(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	
S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	45
Э.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	Le g
	Relationship	1.3
4.	Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	<u> </u>

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	ACADEMIC YEAR 20 18 -20 4 (ODD / EVEN)	
	SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name DOJ	e of the Staff : P. Kamarey : 1.1.2012 (Give ratings on ten point scale with "10" being the best "1" the poorest)	
5.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	Lag
<b>2</b> .	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	<u> </u>
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	49_
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	42
·	Effectiveness	ДS

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	KINGS	
$\sim$	• ··· · • • • •	·

....

ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2014 ODD / EVEN

## SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: K. Kaliyam Oorthi

DOJ

: 12.03.2010

- - - - - - -

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

5.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	<u>4</u> 9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	<u>48</u>
.3.	Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	43
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	42
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	46

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(~20)

ş HEAD OF THE DEPARTM **NENT** 




ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 - 2019(ODD)

S.NO	NAME
1.	S.Selvakumar Technical Assistant
2.	P.Kamaraj Technical Assistant
— <sub>3.</sub> —	K.Kaliyamoorthi Attender

Mark

49

43



DOJ

S.NO

1.

Ζ.

З.

4.	is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	46
	Effectiveness	
5.	Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	<u> </u>

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Роог
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARS



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2014 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: p. Kamacaay DOJ : 1.1.2012

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
<b>?</b> .	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	43
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	42
 5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	44

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poer
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

	ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019(ODD / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name	of the Staff: K. Kaliyametriki	
DOJ	: 12.03.2010	
	(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	
S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	4_3
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	46_
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	48
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	45


Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017- 2018(EVEN)

#### <u>NAME-LIST</u>



	ACADEMIC YEAR 2011 -2018(ODD / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
001	of the Staff: S. SetWalkuman : フーロリ・2008 (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	
S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	<u> </u>
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	_ <u> </u>
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	_կե_
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	1.49

-

.

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



# ACADEMIC YEAR 2017 -2018(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : C - Selvann

DOJ : 14.12.2007

5.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowiedge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	48
т. З.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	46
- 5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	<u> </u>

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

54118 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2011 -20 /8(000 / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : P. Kamasaj DOJ : 1.1.2.012

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	_ևս_
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	<u>_</u> 43 _
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	46_

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

S-53 Jul 8 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	KINGS 🚑 🚎	
	ACADEMIC YEAR 2017 -2018(ODD / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name DOJ	e of the Staff : K · Kaliyan sorthi : 12 · 03 · 2 ol 0 (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	
S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	43
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	45
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	لو، 4
⊧ 5,	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	47

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

F-J-F-41B HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



## DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-2018(ODD)

#### NAME-LIST

S.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
t. <sup></sup>	S.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
2.	C.Selvam	Technical Assistant
3.	P.Kamaraj	Technical Assistant
4.	K.Kaliyamoorthi	Attender
	<u> </u>	



# ACADEMIC YEAR 2011 -201 GOOD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: S. Selva Kuman

DOJ

: 7.01.2008

\$.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	46
٩.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	42
4.	Relationship is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	45
 j 5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	43

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31 40)	(21-30)	(<20)

ーま HEAD OF THE DEPART



# ACADEMIC YEAR 2011 -2018(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : C. Selvan

DOJ : 19-12-2007

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	_47
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	46
 5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	43

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Роог
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

17-HEAD OF THE DEP

	KINGS ER	
	ACADEMIC YEAR 2014 -2018 (ODD / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name DOJ	e of the Staff : P. Kamaroy : トレンントユ (Give ratings on ten point scale with "10" being the best "1" the poorest)	
5.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	<u>48</u>
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	41
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	45
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	49

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



# ACADEMIC YEAR 20 17 -20 18(000 / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAUBY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. Kaliyamoorthi

DOJ : 12+03+2010

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	48
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	49
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	42
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	44

 Outstanding
 Very Good
 Good
 Poor

 (41-50)
 (31-40)
 (21-30)
 (<20)</td>

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



## DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2016- 2017 (EVEN)

### NAME-LIST

S.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
· <u>1</u> ,	D.Vijay	System Ailmin :
2.	D.Hariharan	System Admin
3.	S.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
4.	C.Selvam	Technical Assistant
5.	P.Kamaraj	Technical Assistant
<u> </u>	K.Kaliyamoorthi	Attender
		i

	KINGS	
	ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017(ODD / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name DOJ	e of the Staff : 「D・Vijouy」 : ユー・6・200円 (Give ratings on ten point scale with 10' being the best 1' the poorest)	
5.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab tune?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	46
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	49
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	Le &
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	! Leu

Qutstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

.

Namé	ACADEMIC YEAR 20 ( 6-20(-1(0DO / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
DOI	: 6-9-2010	
s.NO	(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest) QUESTIONNAIRE	 Mark
	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	48
алана 1 1	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	49
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	43
5.	<b>Effectiveness</b> Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	48

.

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poar
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the staff : S-Selva Kumay

DOJ : 7.01.2008

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark I
1	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	. 49
<b>3</b> .	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	49
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	- 4T
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	4 <sup>8</sup>

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21 30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPAR



# ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2014 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

# Name of the Staff : C.-Selvam

DOI : 19.12.2007

\$.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	46
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	42
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	48_
s 5.	<b>Effectiveness</b> Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	45

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPAR



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : P. Kamaraj DOJ : 1.1.2012

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
Ζ.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	248
3	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	42.
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	47
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	44

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPART

	KINGS 🛞	
	ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name DÖJ	e of the Staff : K·Kaliya Moorthi : 12·DB·2010 (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	
5.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
<b>2</b> .	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	47
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	44
4.	Relationship Is the stall cooperative and able to get along well with students?	43
<b>5</b> .	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	46

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



## DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2016- 2017(ODD)

### NAME-LIST

S.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
1.	D.Vijay	System Admin
- <sub>2</sub> .	D.Haribaran	System Admin
- 3.	\$.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
4.	C.Selvam	Technical Assistant
<u> </u>	P.Kamaraj	Technical Assistant
<u>б</u> .	K.Kaliyamoorthi	Altender
		/



### ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

# Name of the Staff : $\mathfrak{D} \cdot \mathsf{Vijay}$

:

DÖJ

## 21-6-2007

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Dues the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	 e7
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	49_
4	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	46
<b>S</b> .	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	48

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Роог
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



## ACADEMIC YEAR 20 16-2014(OOD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : D. Hari havan

DOJ : 6-9-2010

\$.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	<u>ر</u> ه
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	46
- 3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	મવ
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	47
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	49

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

2/12/16 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



# ACADEMIC YEAR 2015 -2011(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Selva kumar

## 001 : 09.01. 2008

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	44
з.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	45
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	43

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

116 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : E. Selviam

DOI : 19.12.2007

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
— 1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	45
- 3.	Communication  Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	46
 4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	41
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	لبع

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(< 30)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



## ACADEMIC YEAR 20 /6 -2017(000 / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: P. Kawaray DOJ : 1.1.2012

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	48
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	44
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	43
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	42

Outslanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
Name	of the staff : K. Kaliya moorthi	
DO1	: 12-03-2010	
-	(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	
S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	ç٥
 Z. ;	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	46
. 3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	_L_7
— . 4. i	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	uš
5,	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	<u>_ 49</u> _

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT







## DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

# NON TEACHING STAFF'S – APPRAISAL FORM



#### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATIN ENGINEERING

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-21(EVEN)

#### NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

L.NO	NAME OF THE EVENT
ì	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr, P. Suresh

202 HOD/ECE

H.O.D. ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING PUNALKULAM - 613 303. GANDARVAROITAI TALUK. PUDUKOITAI DISTRICT



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2020- 21 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

# Name of the Staff : MM . A . Pari Valla)

DOJ : 4.7-2007

### (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

Very Good

(31-40)

Good (21-30)

Poor

(<20)

20.21 211 п

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

(41 - 50)

	A NAAC Accredited Institution	٢	CERT No 1001
--	-------------------------------	---	-----------------

# ACADEMIC YEAR 2020- 21 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

## Name of the Staff : Mon. P. Swiesh DOJ

: 1.7.2009

## (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

Very Good

(31-40)

(41-50)

Poor

(21 - 30)

Good

(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPAR



# DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATIN ENGINEERING

# ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-21(ODD)

## NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

LNO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr, P. Suresh

222002 H.O.D. HOD/ECE 29 12/2020

ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING PUNALKULAM - 613 303. GENDARVAKOITAL TALUK, PUDU-DILAI DISTURCI

	A NAAC Accredited Institution ICINICS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING Recognized under 2(f) & 12(B) of UGC Approved by AICTE, New Delhi Affiliated to Anna University, Chennel		
--	--	--	--

## ACADEMIC YEAR 2020- 21 (ODD / EVEN)

## SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: N91 . A. Parivalla )

DOJ : 4.7.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

Very Good (31-40)

(41-50)\*

Good (21-30)

Poor (<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTN



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2020- 21 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M.S. P. Suresh

: 1.7.2009

DOJ

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	08
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08 /

Outstanding

Very Good (31-40)

.

Poor

(<20)

(41-50)

2020 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

Good

(21-30)



# DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATIN ENGINEERING

## ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-20(EVEN)

## NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

INO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr, P. Suresh

30 H 2020. HOD/ECE

H.O.D.

ELEC POMICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERINE KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERINE PUNALKULAM - 613 303. CANDARYARDTIAI DALW. PUDUKOTIAI DETRIC:



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2019- 20 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

## Name of the Staff: MM.A. Parivallal DOJ : 04.07-2007

## (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	٥g
4	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good (31-40) Good Poor (21-30) (<20)

30 4 2020 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2019- 20 (ODD / EVEN)

## SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

## Name of the Staff : M.M. P. Swresh DOJ

## : 01.07.2009

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	୦୩
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good (31 - 40)

Good Poor (21 - 30)(<20)

120 HEAD OF THE DEPART



# DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATIN ENGINEERING

## ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-20(ODD)

## NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

SL.NO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1 .	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr, P. Suresh

.

de 20200 HOD/ECE

H.O.D. ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEETING KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING PUNALKULAM - 613 303. GANDARYANDITAI TALUK. PUDUKOTTAI DISTRICT



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2019- 20 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

# Name of the Staff : A . Posu Vallal

# : 4.7.2007

#### (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	oq
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
з.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	©8

 Very Good
 Good
 Poor

 (31-40)
 (21-30)
 (<20)</td>

30/12/19 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

Outstanding

DOJ

(41-50)



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2019- 20 (ODD / EVEN)

### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Non P. Swiesh

DOJ

· 4.7.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good (31-40) Good Poor (21-30) (<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPAR



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATIN ENGINEERING

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19(EVEN)

NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

SL.NO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr, P. Suresh

CH 30/1/19 -1 HOD/ECE

H.O.D. ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING PUNALKULAM - 613 303. GANBARVARDTIAL BALKK. PUDUKORAL DETRICT



#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

# Name of the Staff : Mn A · Panivallal

:04.07.2007

DOJ

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

s.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	09
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good Good Poor (31-40) (21-30) (<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

## Name of the Staff : Mar. p. Swiesh

:1.7.2009

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
з.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	09
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

DOJ

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

2000 30 0 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT







## DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATIN ENGINEERING

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19(ODD)

#### NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

SL.NO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr, P. Suresh

30 4/2018 HOD/ECE

ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING PUNALKULAM - 613 303. GANDARVAROTIAI TALUK. PUDUKOTIAI DISTINCT



#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

#### Name of the Staff : M9 . A . Parivallal DOJ

: 1.7-2009

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab?	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

ű,

Very Good (31-40)

#### Poor Good (21 - 30)(<20)

2012

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

## Name of the Staff : M91.P. Suresh

: 1.7.2009 (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	68
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	lo
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.?	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

DOJ

Very Good (31-40)

(21 - 30)

Good

Poor (<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPAR



## DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATIN ENGINEERING

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-18(EVEN)

#### NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

SL.NO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr, P. Suresh

06/2010. 29

HOD/ECE ELECTRONICS AND COMMENNICATION ENGINEERING KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING PUNALKULAM - 613 303. CANDARVAROTIAL TALUK: PUDUKOTIAL DETRICT



#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

# Name of the Staff : Mn A . Pasi vallal

: H.1.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	09
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

DOJ

(41-50)

÷

Very Good (31-40) Good Poor (21-30) (<20)

4 8110 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

## Name of the Staff : Mr. P. Sunesh

: 1.7.2009

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
з.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

DOJ

(41-50)

Very Good (31-40) Good (21-30)

(<20)

Poor

8108 29 ł HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT







#### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-18(ODD) Non-TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

SLNo Name of the staff

- 1. Mr. A. Parivallal
- 2. Mr. P. Suresh
- 3. Ms. A. Mugilarasi

H.O.D. ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEEPING KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING PUNALKULAM - 613 303. GANDARVAKOTTAI TALUK. PUDUKOTTAI DISTRICT.



#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

## Name of the Staff : Mr. A. Parivallal

DOJ :04.7.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication . Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	09
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good (31-40) Good Poor (21-30) (<20)

017 + 26 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

# Name of the Staff: AP. Suresh

· 1.7. 2009

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	09
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

(31-40)

Very Good

Good Poor

(<20)

f HEAD OF THE DEPARTMEN

(21 - 30)



#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: Ma. A. Nubilarri DOJ : 01.07.2014 (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

s.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively? Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	
4.		
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	09

Outstanding

(41-50)\*

Very Good (31-40)

Good (21 - 30)

(<20)

Poor

HEAD OF THE DEPAR



## DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATIN ENGINEERING

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-17(EVEN)

#### NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

SL.NO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr, P. Suresh
3	Ms. A. Mugilarasi

30 6 2017 HOD/ECE

ELECTRONICS AND CONFIDENCIATION ENGINEERING KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING PUNALKULAM - 613 303. GANDARYAROTIAL DALMS. INSUKOTIAL DISTRICT



## SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

## Name of the Staff : MM. A. Paru Vallal DOJ : H.T. 2007

# (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
з.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	.08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(31-40)

Very Good

Good Poor (21-30) (<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPART

(41-50)



#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Non · P · Switch

DOJ :1.7.2009

#### (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	43
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good (31-40) Good (21-30)

Poor (<20)

06/2017 30 + HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M.J. A. Nugilatan

DOJ

: 01.07.2014

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good (31-40)

Good (21 - 30)

(<20)

Poor

2012. 30 6 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



# DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATIN ENGINEERING

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-17(ODD)

## NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

SL.NO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr, P. Suresh
3	Ms. A. Mugilarasi

HOD/ECE

H.O.D. ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEETING KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING PUNALKULAM - 613 302. CANDARMEOTEN DALME, NACHKETTANDERING



## SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: N.M. A. Pasu Valla)

: H.T. 2007

# (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	Øg
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	٥g

Outstanding (41-50)

ľ

Very Good (31-40) Good (21-30)

(<20)

Poor

2016 HEAD OF THE DEPAR



## SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : 191. P. Scoresh DOJ : 1.7.2009

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	lo
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

(31-40)

Very Good

Good (21-30)

Poor (<20)

30/11/2016 ł HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



## ACADEMIC YEAR 20 16 -20 17 (ODD / EVEN)

## SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: NS. A. Mugilanasi DOJ : 01.07.2014

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	09
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	09
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

÷

Very Good (31-40)

3

(21-30)

Good

Poor (<20)

+ 2016 30 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



## DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

# NON TEACHING STAFF'S – APPRAISAL FORM




## DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERIN

## ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021 (EVEN)

## NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF	
1,	RALEX	
2.	K.REGUBALAN	

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



Outstanding

Very Good

(31-40)

(41-50)

Poor

(<20)

Good

(21-30)

Feedback Form 1



(41-50)

(31-40)

(21-30)

(<20)



#### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERIN

ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021 (ODD)

NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

5.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF	
1.	RALEX	
2	KREGUBALAN	

Jum 9 **HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT** 

			<b>6</b>	
		DEMIC YEAR 20 20-2021 (ODD / D	20040 <del>0</del> /	
io	era manana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana ana	Name of the Staff : R A . 11 - 2 00 3 point scale with '10' being the best		
.NO		QUESTIONNAIRE		Mark
1	Availability Does the staff availa	able during the entire lab time?		9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff expla	in the solution for the problem rep	orted?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able t	o communicate effectively?		9
4.	Relationship is the staff cooperat	ive and able to get along well with	students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make	effective contributions in maintair	ing the Lab.	9
				46

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30) (<20)

Poor

annel le HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

		17 W 1
	ACADEMIC YEAH 20 20 - 2021 (ODD / EVEN)	
	SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
	Name of the Staff : E RAGORALA	2
100	1 23.04.2006	
	(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	
NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
	Availability	9
1	Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	/
3	Knowledge	10
	Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	
4	Communication	9
1	Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	/
1	Relationship	9
1. 4.	is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	
		8

ъř Outstanding (41-50)

Very Good (31-40)

Poor

(21-30) (<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

Good







#### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERIN

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-2020 (EVEN)

#### NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF	
1.	RALEX	
2.	K.REGUBALAN	
3.	M.SINDHU	

G HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT


	ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-204 (ODD / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD	
DQJ	Name of the Staff : SALEX : 12. //. 2003 (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)	
S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
1	Bulation Mar	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	1

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor	
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)	

.

a) Mamme 14 20

## HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



Outstanding

Very Good


(41-50)

(31-40)

Good Poor (21-30) (<20)

m

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



Outstanding

Very Good

(41-50)

(31-40)

Good (21-30)

÷.)

Poor

(<20)

OF THE DEPARTME HEAD



## DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERIN

## ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-2020 (ODD)

#### NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF
۱.	RALEX
2.	K.REGUBALAN
3.	M.SINDHU

Umm 0 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	A NAAT Accredited fastification		COMP.
--	---------------------------------	--	-------

## ACADEMIC YEAR 20/9 -2020(ODD / SWEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : R. ALEX

: 13-11-2003

## (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

nding

DOJ

Very Good

(41-50)

(31-40)

Good Poor (21-30) (<20)

44

HEAD OF THE DEPART



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -20 4 ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. RAGUBALAN

:23.06.2006

#### (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

(41-50)

DOJ

Very Good (31-40)

Poor

(<20)

Good

(21-30)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTME



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2010(ODD / SVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M. SINDHU

:06.12.2017

## (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8
	Availability         Does the staff available during the entire lab time?         Knowledge         Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?         Communication         Does the staff able to communicate effectively?         Relationship         Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?         Effectiveness

Outstanding

(41-50)

DOJ

1

Very Good (31-40)

Poor

(21-30)

Good

(<20)

HEAD O THE DEPARTM



# DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERIN

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-2019 (EVEN)

#### NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF	
1.	RALEX	
2.	K.REGUBALAN	
3.	M.SINDHU	

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

1







#### ACADEMIC YEAR 20/8 -20/9(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : R. ALEX

DOJ

: 13.11.2003

### (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

4pb

Outstanding

(41-50)

(31-40)

Very Good

Good (21-30)

(<20)

Poor

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

K INICICI	KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING (NAAC According Institution) (NAAC According Institution) (NAAC According Institution) (NAAC According Institution)	CERT
-----------	---	------

ACADEMIC YEAR 20 -20 19 ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K RAGUBALAN

DOJ : 23.06-2006

# (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	q
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	[0
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding

Very Good

(41-50)

(31-40)

Good Poor (21-30)

(<20)

mo HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	KINGS		CLAY
--	-------	--	------

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 20 -20 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

### Name of the Staff: M SINDHU DOJ : 66122017

#### (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8
	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time? Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported? Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively? Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students? Effectiveness

 Outstanding
 Very Good
 Good
 Poor

 (41-50)
 (31-40)
 (21-30)
 (<20)</td>

mm HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



# DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERIN

# ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-2019 (ODD)

## NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF	
1.	RALEX	
2.	K.REGUBALAN	
3.	M.SINDHU	

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

readback Form - E

Al House and a		CERT
----------------	--	------

ACADEMIC YEAR 20/8 -20/9000 / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : R. ALEY

: 13.11. 2003

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

 utstanding
 Very Good
 Good
 Poor

 (41-50)
 (31-40)
 (21-30)
 (<20)</td>

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

ALCONO.	KINGS	۸	CENT
---------	-------	---	------

ACADEMIC YEAR 20 / 8 -20/9(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. RAGUBALAN

: 23.06.2006 DOJ

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9
	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time? Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported? Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively? Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students? Effectiveness

44

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good (31-40)

Good Poor (21 - 30)

(<20)

mp HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

KINGS	۸	CERT
 and the second se	A	

ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-2019(ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M. SINDHU

DOJ

: 06.12 . 2017

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1,	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	R
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

 Outstanding
 Very Good
 Good
 Poor

 (41-50)
 (31-40)
 (21-30)
 (<20)</td>

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT mp



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERIN

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-2018 (EVEN)

NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF
1.	RALEX
<b>z</b> .	K.REGUBALAN
3.	M.SINDHU

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT





#### ACADEMIC YEAR 20/7 -2018 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: R.ALEX

DOJ

: 13.11+2003

#### (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5,	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding

Very Good

Poor

(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

Good

(21-30)

(41-50)

(31-40)

Feedback: Form - E



#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: K.RAGURALAN DOJ : 82.06-2006

#### (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

 Outstanding
 Very Good
 Good
 Poor

 (41-50)
 (31-40)
 (21-30)
 (<20)</td>

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 20/7 -20/8 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: M. SIND HU

DOJ

: 06 12.2017

#### (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
з.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

Very Good Good (31-40) (21-30)

Poor (<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

(41-50)



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2017 -2018(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

#### Name of the Staff : R. ALEX

DOJ

: 13.11.2003

#### (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

Very Good	Good	Poor
(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPART

(41-50)



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2017 -2010 ODD / EVEN

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

#### Name of the Staff : K. RAGUBALAN

: 23.06.2006

#### (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9
		4

Outstanding

(41-50)

DOJ

 Very Good
 Good
 Poor

 (31-40)
 (21-30)
 (<20)</td>

2-12 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT





#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2017 -2018 (ODD / EVEN)-

KING

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M. SINDHU

DOJ

: 06.12.2017

#### (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

(41-50)

 Very Good
 Good
 Poor

 (31-40)
 (21-30)
 (<20)</td>

12/2017 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



#### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERIN

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-2017 (EVEN)

#### NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF
1.	RALEX
2.	M.PALRAJ
3.	K.REGUBALAN
4.	P.KAMARAJ

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 20/6 -20/7(ODB / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

#### Name of the Staff : R.ALEX

DOJ

: 13.11.2003

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	10

Outstand

ding	Very Good	Good	Poor
0)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

m HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

41

(41-50



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 20/6 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M. PALRAJ

DOJ

: 03.08.2015

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8
	Availability         Does the staff available during the entire lab time?         Knowledge         Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?         Communication         Does the staff able to communicate effectively?         Relationship         Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?         Effectiveness

Outstanding

Very Good (31-40)

Good Poor (21-30) (<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTM

(41-50)



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2011(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : IC. RAGUBALAN DOJ

: 23.06.2006

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	ю
	Availability         Does the staff available during the entire lab time?         Knowledge         Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?         Communication         Does the staff able to communicate effectively?         Relationship         Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?         Effectiveness

Very Good Good Poor Outstanding (21-30) (31-40) (<20) (41-50)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTME



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : P. KAMARAJ

DOJ :03.08.2015

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

Very Good Good (31-40) (21-30)

Poor (<20)

(41-50)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMEN



#### DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERIN

#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-2017 (ODD)

#### NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF
1.	RALEX
2.	M.PALRAJ
3.	K.REGUBALAN
4.	P.KAMARAJ

w HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: R.ALEX

DOI

: 13.11.2003

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	٩
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding

Very Good Good Poor (31-40) (21-30) (<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

(41-50)



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

#### Name of the Staff : M. PALRAJ

DOJ

#### : 03.08.2015

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstandi

utstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

E DEPARTMENT



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 20 16 -20/ 20DD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. RAGUBALAN

: 23.06.2006

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

5.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding

DOJ

Very Good	Good	Poor
(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

amo HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

(41-50)



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

#### Name of the Staff : R. KAMARAJ DOJ

: 03.08.2015

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

Very Good	Good	Poor
(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

m LHEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

(41-50)



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 202 -2021 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

DOJ : 22.02.2007

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	٩
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	Qb
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	7
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	Q
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

	V		
Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

T. Imhy my 21 05/21 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 202 -2024 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

# Name of the Staff: K. M. Ayyanar

DOJ : 06-01- 2010

#### (Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	٩
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	Ч
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	Ş
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	٩
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	٦

 Outstanding
 Very Good
 Good
 Poor

 (41-50)
 (31-40)
 (21-30)
 (<20)</td>

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

Feedback: Form - E



# ACADEMIC YEAR 202 -2024 (ODD / EVEN)

# SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: K. Kuman Gum DOJ : 15/04/21

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	<u>ہ</u>
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	7
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Very Good

(31-40)

Outstanding

Good Poor (21-30) (<20)

(41-50)

T. Entry 24 105/24 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

		KINGS	CEBT	
		ACADEMIC YEAR 2026 -2024 SUPPORTING STAFF APPRA		
Name DOJ	1	K.K. Ayyanar 06-01-2010 ten points scale with '10' beir	g the best '1' the poores	9
S.NO		QUESTIONNAIRE		Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff a	available during the entire lab	time?	6
2.	Knowledge Does the staff of	explain the solution for the pr	oblem reported?	6
3.	Communicatio Does the staff :	n able to communicate effective	ely?	5
4,	Relationship Is the staff coo	perative and able to get along	well with students?	5
5.	Effectiveness	make effective contributions		6
Outs	tanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(4	1-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)
			T. Row Map	725/11/2* PARTMENT

		KINGS	C cist	
	A	CADEMIC YEAR 2010 -204 (	000 / EVENI	
		SUPPORTING STAFF APPRA	SAL BY HOD	
Name	of the Staff :	5 Seharasu		
DOJ		61. 44. 2005		
	(Give ratings on t	en points scale with "10" bein	g the best "1" the poores	0
s.NO		QUESTIONNAIRE		Mark
1	Availability Does the staff a	valable during the entire lat	time?	5
2	Knowledge Does the staff e	xplain the solution for the p	oblem reported?	6
3	Communication Does the staff a	n sble to communicate effectiv	e47	5
4	Relationship Is the staff coord	perative and able to get alon	g well with students?	5
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff (	make effective contributions	in maintaining the Lab.	6
Out	standing	Very Good	Good	Poor
ł	41-50)	(31-40)	(21-33)	(42)
			T Parky	ony lstolin Defartment



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: S. Selvercen DOJ : 22.02.2007

#### (Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	٩
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	7
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	ę
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	٩
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	٩

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



# ACADEMIC YEAR 20/9 -202 (ODD / EVEN)

# SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : G. Snidharon DOJ

01.09.2009

÷ ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

	(Give ratings on ten points scale with	Mark
S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	٩
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	٩
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	٩
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	ş
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	٩

Y	and a second provide	Good	Poor
Outstanding	Very Good	Good	1.001
(44.50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)
(41-50)	(0110)		

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. K. Any amove

DOJ : 06.01.2010

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	Ś
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	٩
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	٩
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	ç
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

V			
Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

T. Running 29/05/20 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

		KINGS	CERT	
		ADEMIC YEAR 2019 -203%		
DOI	1 (	G. S. Morray 91-09-2009 en points scale with '10' bein	g the best '1' the poores!	0
S.NO		QUESTIONNAIRE		Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff a	wailable during the entire lab	time?	η
2.	Knowledge Does the staff of	explain the solution for the p	oblem reported?	ſ,
3.	Communication Does the staff	n able to communicate effectiv	ely?	7
4.	Relationship Is the staff cod	operative and able to get alon	g well with students?	6
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff	make effective contributions	in maintaining the Lab.	6
0	utstanding (41-50)	Very Good (31-40)	Good (21-30)	Po (<2
	(HILON)	1,00%,4038	T Roby HEAD OF THE	DEPARTME

		KINGS	CERY CERY	
		ACADEMIC YEAR 201" -201 SUPPORTING STAFF APPR/	1000 (000 Decision (000 Decision)	
DOI	1	s. Laturonsia 22 o.8. Sloof		
S.NO	(Give ratings on	ten points scale with '10' bei QUESTIONNAIRE	ng the best '1' the poorest)	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?			6
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?			
3,	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?			5
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?			5
5,	Effectiveness Does the staff	make effective contributions	in maintaining the Lab.	5
Outs	tanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(4	1-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)
			HEAD OF THE DE	PARTMENT

Name DOJ	SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD tof the Staff : N.M. Dryginson : Lint. out Dates		
S.NO	(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark	
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	L.	
2.		7	1
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	6	
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	C	Î
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	S	+
	tstanding Very Good Good	Poor	
	(41-50) (31-40) (21-30) 	(*20) Control (*) EPÄRTMENT	



## ACADEMIC YEAR 20/8 -20% (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Selvarash

DOJ

: 22.02.2007

## (Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	7
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	7
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	- S
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Very Good (31-40)

Good Poor (21 - 30)

(<20)

T. Emmy 24 HEAD OF THE DEPART

(41-50)

Outstanding



# ACADEMIC YEAR 20 / -2019 (ODD / EVEN)

# SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: G. Snidhaman DOJ : 01.09.2009

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	S
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	7
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	es.

Outstanding

(41-50)

(31-40)

Very Good

Good Poor (21-30) (<20)

T. R. Muny 24/05/19 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



## ACADEMIC YEAR 20/8 -20/9 (ODD / EVEN)

## SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

# DOJ : 06-01-2010

# (Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	7
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Very Good Good Poor (41-50) (31-40) (21-30) (<20)

T. Bur Mpring 24105/19 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	Feedbar	ck: Form - E
	KINGS C SURT	
lame DOJ	ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2019 (ODD / EVEN) SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD of the Staff : G1 - Emilherian : 01 09 2007 (Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poprest)	
.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1,	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	ð
	boes the stan explain the solution for the p	
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	7
3. 4.	Communication	7 8

Outstanding	Very Good	Good	Poor	30
(41-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)	

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT ្ន

80

3

	67	KINGS	CERT	
			CERT	
		DEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019		
LOO		1. 02 2007	ng the best '1' the poorest	1
S.NO		QUESTIONNAIRE		Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff avai	lable during the entire lab	o time?	٦
2.	Knowledge Does the staff expl	lain the solution for the p	roblem reported?	6
з.	Communication Does the staff able	to communicate effectiv	ely?	7
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooper-	ative and able to get alon	g well with students?	7
5,	Effectiveness Does the staff mail	e effective contributions	in maintaining the Lab.	7
		~		
Outs	tanding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(4	1-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)
			T. Purly HEAD OF THE D	PARTMEN

		ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -201	(ODD / EVEN)	
		SUPPORTING STAFF APPR	AISAL BY HOD	
Namo DOJ	of the Staff:	K.K. Ayyanor Of 01. 2010		
-	(Give ratings on	ten points scale with '10' bei	ng the best '1' the poores	tj
S.NO		QUESTIONNAIRE		Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff :	available during the entire la	b time?	6
2.	Knowledge Does the staff (	explain the solution for the p	roblem reported?	6
з.	Communicatio			6
4.	Relationship Is the staff coop	perative and able to get alon	g well with students?	7
5,	Effectiveness Does the staff r	nake effective contributions	in maintaining the Lab.	7
Outst	anding	Very Good	Good	Poor
(4	1-50)	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)
			T. P. Anno HEAD OF THE DE	M 26 Jul



#### ACADEMIC YEAR 2020 -2021 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

# Name of the Staff : Ms. R. Mahalakshmi

: 10.9.18

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	g
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	g

Outstanding

DOJ

Very Good (31-40)

(21-30)

Good

(<20)

Poor

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

(41-50)



ACADEMIC YEAR 20.10 -2021 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Ms. R. Mahalakahani DOJ : 10.9.18

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	01
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding

Very Good

(41-50)

(31-40)

Good .

(21-30)

•

(<20)

Poor

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

	KINGS COLICE OF INCOMERING COLICE OF INCOMERING	CERT
--	---	------

ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2000 (ODD / EVEN)

# SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Ms. R. Mahalakshmi DOJ : 10.9.18

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	g
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	V
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	07

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good (31-40)

Good Poor (21-30)

(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

# Name of the Staff : Ms. R. Mehalakshmi

DOJ

: 10.9,18

## (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3. 🦯	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	g

Colors 1

mariant

Outstanding

#### Very Good

(41-50)

(31-40)

Gar

ALC! 11.1 Poor Good (<20) (21-30)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

Feedback:	Form - E	ł
-----------	----------	---



ACADEMIC YEAR 201 8-201 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: Pralcash - P : 6.6.2012 DOJ

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	7
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	7.
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	6
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	b
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	6

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good (31-40)

Good (21-30)

Poor (<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -20/7 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : MI. SOORIYA

DOJ

E

: 20.07.2018

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	g
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding

(41-50)

(31-40)

Very Good

(21-30)

Good

Poor (<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -20 /7(ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: R. MAHALAUSHMI

DOJ : 10.09.2018

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	6
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	6
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	7

Outstanding

(41-50)

e

0

Very Good (31-40)

Good (21-30)

Poor

(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019(ODD / EVEN)

## SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: Ms. M. Sooriya DOJ : 80-7-18

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	4
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

Very Good (31-40)

Good Poor (21-30) (<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

(41-50)



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -209 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Ms. R. Mahalabihmi

DOJ : 10-9-18

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	rb
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

Very Good

(41-50)

(31-40)

Good Poor (21 - 30)(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



## ACADEMIC YEAR 20 7 -2019(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Icavi Tha

001 : 15.07.2014

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9



Outstanding

(41-50)

(31-40)

22

Very Good

Good Poor (21-30) (<20)

14/11/12 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2017 -20(%(ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Ms. L. Kowsalya.

DOJ

大学を行われて

6

: 15.07.14

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

(41-50)

(31-40)

Very Good

Good Poor (21-30) (<20)

(<20)

14/11/17 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

KINGS CERT

ACADEMIC YEAR 20/7 -20@ (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : P. Pralcarh

DOJ

: 6.6.8012

. (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

s.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	ιο
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	7
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	7

Very Good

(31-40)

Outstanding (41-50)

Good (21-30) Poor (<20)

23/11/12 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017 -2018 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Ms. 1 . Kowsalya DOJ

: 15.07.14

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
ر 3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

(41-50)

(31-40)

- -

Very Good

El Child

Poor Good (21 - 30)

(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



## ACADEMIC YEAR 20 17 -2018 (ODD / EVEN)

## SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

## Name of the Staff : S-Kavitha DOJ

: 15.07.2014

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	10
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	.9

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good (31-40)

ε.

Good Poor (21-30)

(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



# ACADEMIC YEAR 20(7 -2013(ODD / EVEN)

# SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

# Name of the Staff : P. Phokash

DOJ

## : 6.6.2012

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	6
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	7
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	ر، ل
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	6
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	6

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good (31-40)

Good Poor (21 - 30)

(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 20 % -2011 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : P. Makash

DOJ : 6.6.2012

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

s.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	7
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	7
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	b
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	6
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	7

Outstanding

(41-50)

115

Very Good (31-40) Good (21-30)

Poor (<20)

F THE DEPARTMENT HEAD



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S- Kavilha

DOJ : 15-07-2014

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
з.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding

(41-50)

1120

Very Good (31-40)

NAME OF STREET WAR

N. 6.

1.15

(21-30)

Good

Poor (<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTME



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : L. Kowsalya DOJ

: 15.07.14 .

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good (31-40)

Good (21-30)

Poor (<20)

MENT HEAD OF THE DE



# ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017(ODD / EVEN)

## SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

# Name of the Staff : L. Koussalya

DOJ : 15.07.14.

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	¢
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding		Very Good	Good	Poor
(41-50)	2.3	(31-40)	(21-30)	(<20)

1

LX HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

1



## ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

#### SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

# Name of the Staff : & Kavilfa

DOJ : 15.7.2014

# (Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding

(41-50)

Trend

Very Good (31-40) Good (21-30) .

F.

(<20)

Poor

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



# ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

# SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

# Name of the Staff : P.Prakash

DOJ

## : 6.6.201e

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	7
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	7
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	6
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	6
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	6

Very Good

(31-40)

Outstanding

(41-50)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

Good

(21 - 30)

Poor

(<20)