

6.3.5 NON TEACHING STAFF APPRAISAL



INDEX PAGE

S.NO	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO
1	DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING	2-6
2	DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING	7-59
3	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING	60-93
4	DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING	94-133
5	DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING	134-150
6	DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND HUMANITIES	151-171



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M. Vijay
DOJ : 24/07/19

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	7
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	7

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
(NAAC Accredited Institution)
(Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, Affiliated to
Anna University, Chennai)



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019[✓] ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : G. Prithivi Anand
DOJ : 24/07/2017

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

G. Swarnan
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-2018 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : G. Prithivi Anand

DOJ : 24/07/2017

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

G. Prithivi Anand
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2011 -2018 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : G. Peethi Anand

DOJ : 24.07.2017

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

G. Swarnan
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017[✓](ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : *Saravanan*

DOJ : *21.3.2016*

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	<i>9</i>
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	<i>8</i>
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	<i>9</i>
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	<i>9</i>
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	<i>8</i>

[✓]
Outstanding
(41-50)

Very Good
(31-40)

Good
(21-30)

Poor
(<20)

S. Saravanan
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2020 - 2021(EVEN)

NAME-LIST

S.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
1.	R.Thiagarajan	System Admin
2.	S.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
3.	C.Periasami	Technical Assistant



KINGS

UNIVERSITY



CERT

ACADEMIC YEAR 2020 - 2021 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : R. Thiagarajan
 DOJ : 3.9.2019

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	47
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	46
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	46

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

S. J. 8/21
 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS
KING'S COLLEGE ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY
K. J. Somaiya Institute of Engineering & Technology



ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Solvankumar

DOI : 7.01.2008

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	<u>50</u>
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	<u>44</u>
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	<u>43</u>
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	<u>47</u>
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	<u>49</u>

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

S. S. 21/3/21
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT


KINGS

ENGINEERING COLLEGE


 CERT
 ISO 9001

ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : C. Periasami

DOI : 10.9.2019

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	10
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	10

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

J. J. 12/8/21
 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2020 - 2021(ODD)

NAME-LIST

S.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
1.	R.Thiagarajan	System Admin
2.	S.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
3.	C.Periasami	Technical Assistant



KINGS

UNIVERSITY



A CERT

ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021(ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : R.Thiagarajan

DOI : 3.9.2019

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	47
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	41
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	46
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab	49

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)


Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature] 30/12/20
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT


KINGS
 UNIVERSITY


ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

 Name of the Staff : S. Selvakumar

 DOJ : 7.05.2008

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	45
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	47
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	41
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	48

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

S. Srinivas
 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT


KINGS
UNIVERSITY

ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021 (ODD / EVEN)
SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HQD
Name of the Staff : *C. Periasami*
DOI : *10.9.2019*

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	44
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	43
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	42

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

S. J. 30/12/20
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 - 2020(EVEN)

NAME-LIST

S.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
1.	R.Thiagarajan	System Admin
2.	S.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
3.	C.Perlasami	Technical Assistant
4.	P.Kamara]	Technical Assistant
5.	K.Kaliyamoorthi	Attender


KINGS
 UNIVERSITY

CERT
 4000

ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

 Name of the Staff : R. Thiagarajan
 DOJ : 3.7.2019

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	49
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	44
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	47

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)


Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT


KINGS
 UNIVERSITY
 KINGS COLLEGE
 UNIVERSITY


ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

 Name of the Staff : S. Selvakumar

 DOJ : 7.01.2008

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	47
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	49
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	46
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	49

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

S. Selvakumar
 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT


KINGS
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020 (ODD / EVEN)
SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD
Name of the Staff : C. Periasami

DOI : 10-9-2019

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	47
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	43
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	46
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	48

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS



CERT

ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : P. Kamraj

DOJ : 1.1.2012

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	43
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	42
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	49

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

S. J. 8/10
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-2020 (ODD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. Kaliyamoorthi

DOJ : 12.03.2010

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	47
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	49
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	46
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	48

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(≤20)

S. S. S. S. S.
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 - 2020(ODD)

NAME-LIST

S.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
1.	R.Thiagarajan	System Admin
2.	S.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
3.	C.Periasami	Technical Assistant
4.	P.Kamraj	Technical Assistant
5.	K.Kaliyamoorthi	Attender


KINGS
UNIVERSITY

ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020[✓] (ODD / EVEN)
SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD
Name of the Staff : *S- Selvakumar*
DOI : *7.01.2008*

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	<i>49</i>
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	<i>43</i>
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	<i>47</i>
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	<i>46</i>
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	<i>41</i>

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

S. Jeyaraj
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS
UNIVERSITY



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : C. periasami
DOJ : 10.9.2019

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	219
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	48
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	46
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	44
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	47

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

S. J. 27/12/19
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : P. Kamraj

DOI : 1.1.2022

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	49
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	43
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	48
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	42

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature] 27/12/19
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 - 2019(EVEN)

NAME-LIST

S.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
1.	S.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
2.	P.Kamraj	Technical Assistant
3.	K.Kalyamoorthi	Attender



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019(ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Selvakumar

DOI : 7.01.2008

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	45
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	49
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	43
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	44

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

S. Selvakumar
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS
UNIVERSITY
KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019 (ODD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : P. Kamarey

DOJ : 1.1.2012

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	41
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	49
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	42
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	45

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature] 26/4/19
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

**KINGS**

UNIVERSITY

**CERT**

ALL INDIA

ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019 (ODD / [✓]EVEN)**SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD**Name of the Staff : *K. Kaliyamoorthi*DOJ : *12.03.2010*

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	<i>49</i>
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	<i>48</i>
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	<i>43</i>
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	<i>42</i>
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	<i>46</i>

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

J J 26/4/15
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 - 2019(ODD)

NAME-LIST

S.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
1.	S.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
2.	P.Kamraj	Technical Assistant
3.	K.Kaliyamoorthi	Attender



KINGS
KINGDOMS OF KNOWLEDGE



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Selvakumar

DOJ : 7.01.2008

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	29
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	43
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	41
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	46
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	45

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT


KINGS
 UNIVERSITY


ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : P. Kamraj

DOJ : 1.1.2012

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	43
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	42
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the lab.	44

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)


Poor

(<20)


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-2019 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. Kaliyamurthi

DOJ : 12.03.2010

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	43
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	46
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	48
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	45

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2017- 2018(EVEN)

NAME-LIST

S.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
1.	S.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
2.	C.Selvam	Technical Assistant
3.	P.Kamaraj	Technical Assistant
4.	K.Kaliyamoorthi	Attender



KINGS
KING'S COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017 -2018 (ODD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Selvakumar

DOJ : 7-01-2008

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	<u>50</u>
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	<u>46</u>
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	<u>48</u>
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	<u>46</u>
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	<u>49</u>

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

S. J. 24/4/18
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT


KINGS
 UNIVERSITY


ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-2018 (ODD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : C. Selvam

DOJ : 14.12.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	48
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	46
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	42

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS
KING'S COLLEGE
FOR WOMEN



ACADEMIC YEAR 2011-2012 (GOOD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : P. Kamaraaj

DOJ : 1.1.2012

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	44
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	43
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	46

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)


Poor

(<20)

S. S. 27/4/18
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-2020 (ODD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. Kaliyamoorthi

DOJ : 12-03-2010

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	43
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	45
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	44
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	47

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

S. Jeyaraj
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2017- 2018(ODD)

NAME-LIST

S.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
1.	S.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
2.	C.Selvam	Technical Assistant
3.	P.Kamaraj	Technical Assistant
4.	K.Kaliyamoorthi	Attender



KINGS
UNIVERSITY
WOMEN EMPOWERMENT
INSTITUTE



ACADEMIC YEAR 2014-2015 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Selvakumar

DOI : 7.01.2008

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	46
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	42
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	45
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	43

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

S. Selvakumar
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS
KING'S COLLEGE
KING'S COLLEGE



ACADEMIC YEAR 2011-2012 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : C. Selvam

DOJ : 19.12.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	47
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	46
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	43

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

S. Selvam
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS



ACADEMIC YEAR 2014-2015 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : P. Kamaraj

DOI : 1.1.2012

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	48
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	41
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	45
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	49

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

J. J. 6/12/17
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-2018 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. Kaliyamoorthi

DOJ : 12.03.2010

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	48
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	49
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	42
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	44

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

J. Jeyaraj
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



APJKTU Technical Education
KINGS
K. J. Somaiya Institute of Technology
K. J. Somaiya Institute of Technology
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi
Established by K. J. Somaiya Charitable Trust



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2016- 2017(EVEN)

NAME-LIST

S.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
1.	D.Vijay	System Admin
2.	D.Hariharan	System Admin
3.	S.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
4.	C.Selvam	Technical Assistant
5.	P.Kamaraj	Technical Assistant
6.	K.Kaliyamoorthi	Attender


KINGS
 UNIVERSITY


ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : D. Vijay

DOJ : 21-6-2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	46
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	49
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	48
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	44

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

25/6/17
 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT


KINGS
UNIVERSITY

CERT
UNIVERSITY
ACADEMIC YEAR 20 (6-2017) (ODD / EVEN)
SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD
Name of the Staff : D. Harisharan

DOI : 6.9.2018

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	48
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	49
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	43
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	48

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT


KINGS
UNIVERSITY

STATE-LEVEL
CERT
HIMES
ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)
SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD
Name of the Staff : *S. Selvakumar*
DOJ : *7.01.2008*

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	49
3	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	49
4	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	47
5	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	48

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)


Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

S. Selvakumar
 25/4/17
 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT


KINGS
 Higher Education


ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : C. Selvam

DOI : 19.12.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	49
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	46
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	42
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	48
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	45

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

 J. S. 23/4/17
 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT


KINGS
 UNIVERSITY

ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)
SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD
Name of the Staff : P. Kumaraj

DOJ : 1.1.2012

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	10
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	10

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

25/4/17
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS
Knowledge. Inspiration. Growth.



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017(ODD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. Kaliyamoorthi

DOJ : 12.03.2010

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	4.9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	4.7
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	4.4
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	4.3
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	4.6

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

J. S. S. 25/4/17
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2016- 2017(ODD)

NAME-LIST

S.NO	NAME	DESIGNATION
1.	D.Vijay	System Admin
2.	D.Harisharan	System Admin
3.	S.Selvakumar	Technical Assistant
4.	C.Selvam	Technical Assistant
5.	P.Kamaraj	Technical Assistant
6.	K.Kaliyamoorthi	Attender


KINGS
 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY


ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : D. Vijay

DOJ : 21-6-2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	49
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	49
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	46
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	48

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS
UNIVERSITY



CERT
4000+

ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : D. Hariharan

DOI : 6.9.2010

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	46
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	48
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	47
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	49

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
21/12/16
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 - 2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Selvakumar

DOI : 09.01.2008

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	4.9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	4.4
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	4.8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	4.5
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	4.3

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

S. S. 21/2/16
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS



CERT

ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : E. Selvam

DOI : 19.12.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	45
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	46
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	41
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	43

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(< 20)

S. S. 2/12/11
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT


KINGS
UNIVERSITY

ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-2017 (ODD / EVEN)
SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD
Name of the Staff : P. Kamraj

DOJ : 1-1-2012

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	48
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	44
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	43
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	42

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

2/12/16
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS
Kannur University
Kannur - 690 006



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. Kaliyamoorthi

DOJ : 12-03-2010

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	50
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	46
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	47
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	45
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	49

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

S. J. 2/12/16
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

NON TEACHING STAFF'S – APPRAISAL FORM



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATIN ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-21(EVEN)

NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

SL.NO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr, P. Suresh

[Signature]
20/11/2021
HOD/ECE

H.O.D.
ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
PUNALKULAM - 613 303.
GANDARVAKOTTAI TALUK, PUDUKOTTAI DISTRICT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2020- 21 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Mgr. A. Parivallal

DOJ : 4.7.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

27/11/2021

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2020- 21 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Mr. P. Suresh

DOJ : 1.7.2009

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
29/11/2021
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-21 (ODD)

NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

SL.NO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr. P. Suresh

[Signature]
 H.O.D. HOD/ECE 29/12/2020
 ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
 KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 PUNAKULAM - 613 303.
 GANDHARVAKOTTAI TALUK, PUDUCHERRY DISTRICT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2020- 21 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : N91. A. Parivalla

DOJ : 4.7.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
29/12/20
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2020- 21 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Mr. P. Suresh

DOJ : 1.7.2009

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	08
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08 ✓

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

du sssm
29/12/2020
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-20(EVEN)
NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

SL.NO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr. P. Suresh

[Signature]
 30/4/2020
 HOD/ECE

H.O.D.
 ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 PUNALKULAM - 613 303.
 CANDIYAKOTAI TALUK, PUDUKOTAI DISTRICT.



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019- 20 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : MR. A. Panivalla

DOJ : 04.07.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

20/7/2020

 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019- 20 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Mr. P. Suresh

DOJ : 01-07-2009

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

du 30/11/2020
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-20(ODD)

NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

SL.NO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr. P. Suresh

du 30/12/19.
 HOD/ECE

H.O.D.
 ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 PUNALKULAM - 613 303.
 GANDARYANDTAL TALUK, PUDUKOTTAI DISTRICT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019- 20 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : A. Peruvallal

DOJ : 4.7.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)


Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

du
30/12/19
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019- 20 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Mr. P. Suresh

DOJ : 4.7.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

✓
Outstanding
(41-50)

Very Good
(31-40)

Good
(21-30)

Poor
(<20)

du 30/12/19
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19(EVEN)

NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

SL.NO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr. P. Suresh


30/4/19
HOD/ECE

H.O.D.
ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
PUNALKULAM - 613 303.
GANBARIKOTTAI TALUK, PUDUCHOTTAI DISTRICT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018- 19 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M^{rs}. A. Pothivallal

DOJ : 04.07.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	09
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

f du 30/4/19
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018- 19 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Mrs. P. Swathi

DOJ : 1.7.2009

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	09
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

du 20/4/19
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-19(ODD)

NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

SL.NO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr. P. Suresh

+  30/4/2018.
H.O.D. HOD/ECE
ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
PUNALKULAM - 613 303.
CANDIAVAKOTTAI TALUK, PUDUCHOTTAI DISTRICT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018- 19 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M^{rs}. A. Parivallal

DOJ : 1. 7. 2009

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab?	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

f  30/11/2018
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018- 19 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Mr. P. Suresh

DOJ : 1.7.2009

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	08
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.?	08

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

f du
20/11/2018
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-18(EVEN)
NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

SL.NO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr. P. Suresh

[Signature] 29/06/2018
H.O.D. HOD/ECE
ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
PUNALKULAM - 613 303.
GANDARYAKOTTAI TALUK, PUDUKOTTAI DISTRICT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-18 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Mr. A. Parivallal

DOJ : 1.7.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	09
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-18 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Mr. P. Suresh

DOJ : 1.7.2009

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

+ 29/6/2018
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-18(ODD)
Non-TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

Sl.No Name of the staff

1. Mr. A. Parivallal
2. Mr. P. Suresh
3. Ms. A. Mugilarasi

du
26/7/17

H.O.D.

ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
PUNALKULAM - 613 303.
GANDARYAKOTTAI TALUK, PUDUKOTTAI DISTRICT.



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-18 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Mr. A. Parivallal

DOI : 04.7.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	09
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

[Signature]
26/7/2017



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-18 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff: M.P. Suresh

DOI : 1.7.2009

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	09
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

26/12/17
f HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-18 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Ms. A. Mufilarsi

DOI : 01-07-2014

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	09
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	09

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

f du 26/12/18
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-17(EVEN)

NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

SL.NO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr, P. Suresh
3	Ms. A. Mugilarasi


20/6/2017
HOD/ECE

H.O.D.
ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
PUNAKULAM - 613 303.
GANDHAKOTAI TALUK, PUDUCHOTAI DISTRICT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017(ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Mrs. A. Parivallal

DOJ : 4.7.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	09
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

30/06/2017
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Mr. P. Suresh

DOJ : 1.7.2009

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 30/06/2017
 + HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Ms. A. Mngilalai

DOJ : 01.07.2014

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08


 Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 30/6/2017
 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-17(ODD)

NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

SL.NO	NAME OF THE EVENT
1	Mr. A. Parivallal
2	Mr. P. Suresh
3	Ms. A. Mugilarasi

[Signature]
20/11/2016
HOD/ECE

H.O.D.
ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATION ENGINEERING
KINGS COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
PUNAKULAM - 613 303.
CANDYKOTTAI TALUK, PUDUCHERRY



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Mrs. A. Parivalla

DOI : 4.7.2007

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

30/11/2016



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Mr. P. Suresh

DOJ : 1.7.2009

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	08
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	08
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 30/11/2016
 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Ms. A. Mugilarasi

DOJ : 01.07.2014

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	09
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	09
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	09
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	08

✓
Outstanding

(41-50);

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 30/11/2016
 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

NON TEACHING STAFF'S – APPRAISAL FORM



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021 (EVEN)
NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF
1.	RALEX
2.	K.REGUBALAN


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021 (~~ODD~~ / [✓]EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : R. ALEX

DOJ : 13.11.2003

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	10

45

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
23/11/21
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. RAGUBALAN

DOJ : 23.06.2006

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

42

✓
Outstanding
(41-50)

Very Good
(31-40)

Good
(21-30)

Poor
(<20)

27/11/21
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021 (ODD)

NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF
1.	RALEX
2.	K.REGUBALAN


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : R ALEX

DOJ : 13.11.2020

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

46

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
25/11/20
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. RAJUBALAN

DOI : 23-06-2006

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

45

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

A. Arumugam
29/12/20
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-2020 (EVEN)

NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF
1.	R.ALEX
2.	K.REGUBALAN
3.	M.SINDHU


HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : R. ALEX

DOJ

: 12.11.2023

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

43

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
8/11/20
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. RAGUBALAN

DOI : 23-06-2006

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

42

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
30/4/20
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

DOJ

Name of the Staff : M. SINDHU

: 06.12.2019

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	7
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

41

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
30/6/20
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-2020 (ODD)

NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF
1.	R.ALEX
2.	K.REGUBALAN
3.	M.SINDHU


HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 - 2020 (ODD / ~~EVEN~~)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : R. ALEX

DOJ : 13-11-2003

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

44

A. Alex
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

A. Alex
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT 30/12/19



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. RAGUBALAN

DOI : 23.06.2006

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

43

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
30/12/15
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020(ODD / ~~EVEN~~)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M.SINDHU

DOJ : 06.12.2017

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

42

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
30/12/19
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-2019 (EVEN)

NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF
1.	R.ALEX
2.	K.REGUBALAN
3.	M.SINDHU


HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : R. ALEX

DOJ : 13.11.2003

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

46

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

30/4/19
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. RAGUBALAN

DOJ : 23.06.2006

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

46

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

30/4/19
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
(AACSB Accredited Institution)
Approved by AICTE, New Delhi, affiliated to
Anna University, Chennai



ACADEMIC YEAR 20¹⁸ -20¹⁹ (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M SINDHU

DOJ : 06.12.2017

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

42

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-2019 (ODD)
NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF
1.	R.ALEX
2.	K.REGUBALAN
3.	M.SINDHU


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-2019 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : R. ALEX

DOJ : 13.11.2003

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

44✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
30/11/2018
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-2019 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : **K. RAGUBALAN**

DOI : **23.06.2006**

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

44

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
30/11/2018
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-2019 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M. SINDHU

DOJ : 06.12.2017

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

42

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

A. M. M. M.
30/11/2018
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING

ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-2018 (EVEN)

NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF
1.	R.ALEX
2.	K.REGUBALAN
3.	M.SINDHU


HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-2018 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : R. ALEX

DOJ : 13/11/2003

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

45

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
29/11/18
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-2018 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. RAGURAMAN

DOJ : 22.06.2006

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

A2

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

A. Arumugam
29/6/18
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-2018 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M. SINDHU

DOJ : 06.12.2017

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

41

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

A. M. M.
29/12/18
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017 -2018{ODD / EVEN}

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : R. ALEX

DOJ : 13.11.2003

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

44

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
26/12/2017
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

ACADEMIC YEAR 2017 -2018 ~~ODD / EVEN~~

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. RAGUBALAN

DOJ : 23.06.2006

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

46

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

A. M. M. M.
26/12/2017
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

ACADEMIC YEAR 2017 -2018 (ODD / ~~EVEN~~)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M. SINDHU

DOJ : 06.12.2017

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

43

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
26/12/2017
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-2017 (EVEN)
NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF
1.	R.ALEX
2.	M.PALRAJ
3.	K.REGUBALAN
4.	P.KAMARAJ


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : R.ALEX

DOJ : 13.11.2003

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	10

47

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
20/6/17
f HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M. PALRAJ

DOJ : 03.08.2015

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

43

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

A. Mmm
20/6/17
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD/ EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. RAGUBALAN

DOI : 23.06.2006

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	10

47

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT 20/6/17



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : P. KAMARAJ

DOJ : 03.08.2015

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

41

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature] 20/6/17
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONICS ENGINEERING
ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-2017 (ODD)
NON TEACHING STAFF NAME LIST

S.NO	NAME OF THE STAFF
1.	R.ALEX
2.	M.PALRAJ
3.	K.REGUBALAN
4.	P.KAMARAJ


HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / [✓]EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : R. ALEX

DOI : 13.11.2003

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

45

[✓]
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
20/02/2016
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 ~~(ODD / EVEN)~~

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M. PALRAJ

DOJ : 03.08.2015

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

43

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT 30/1/16



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 ~~ODD~~ / **EVEN**

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. RAGUBALAN

DOJ : 23.06.2006

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

44

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
30/1/16
+ HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 - 2017 (ODD / [✓]EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : **P. KAMARAJ**

DOJ : **03.08.2015**

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

43

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
30/1/16
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

ACADEMIC YEAR 20²⁰ -20²¹ (ODD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : G. Selvarasu

DOJ : 22.02.2007

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	7
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

(41-50)

✓
Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

T. Srinivasan 24/05/21
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021 (ODD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. Kumara Gurusu

DOJ : 15/04/21

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	7
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

T. R. Ravi Varan 21/05/21
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016-2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. K. Ayyanar

DOI : 06-01-2010

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	6
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	6
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	5
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	5
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	6

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

T. R. Raghav
25/11/2010
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Sathya

DOI : 25.04.2020

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	5
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	6
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	5
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	5
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	6

Outstanding
(41-50)

Very Good
(31-40)

✓
Good
(21-30)

Poor
(1-20)

T. P. Sathya 25/04/20
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020 (ODD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Selvaraju

DOJ : 22.02.2007

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	7
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

T. R. Ravi 29/05/20
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020 (ODD / EVEN)


SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : *Dr. Sridharan*

DOJ : *01.09.2009*

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

 Outstanding (41-50)	Very Good (31-40)	Good (21-30)	Poor (<20)
--	-----------------------------	------------------------	----------------------

T. P. Sridharan 29/05/20
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020(ODD / EVEN)✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. K. Ayyanore

DOI : 06.01.2020

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

✓
Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

T. P. R. 29/05/20
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 - 2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : G. Sridharan

DOI : 01.09.2019

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	7
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	6
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	7
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	6
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	6

Outstanding
(41-50)

✓
Very Good
(31-40)

Good
(21-30)

Poor
(<20)

T. Raghavendra 20/10/19
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Lakshmi
DOJ : 22.08.2007

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	6
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	6
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	5
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	5
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	5

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

T. K. Raghav
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 - 2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. M. Pragasam

DOJ : 2019-01-20

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	6
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	7
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	6
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	6
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	5

Outstanding
(41-50)

Very Good
(31-40)

Good
(21-30)

Poor
(1-20)

T. Kalpana 02/10/19
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019 (ODD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Selvarajan

DOJ : 22.02.2007

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	7
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	7
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

(41-50)

✓
Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

T. Srinivasan 24/05/19
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-2019 (ODD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : G. Sridharan

DOJ : 01.09.2009

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	7
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

(41-50)

✓
Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

T. Parthasarathy 24/05/19
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

DOJ : 06-01-2010

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	7
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

(<20)

T. Purnanayagam 20/05/18
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : G. Sridharan

DOI : 01.09.2019

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	7
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding
(41-50)

✓
Very Good
(31-40)

Good
(21-30)

Poor
(<20)

T. Prabhakaran 26/11/19
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Selvaraju

DOI : 22.02.2007

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	7
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	6
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	7
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	7
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	7

Outstanding
(41-50)

Very Good
(31-40)

Good
(21-30)

Poor
(<20)

T. P. S. Selvaraju 26/11/18
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019-2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : K. K. Ayyanar
 DOJ : 06.01.2010

(Give ratings on ten points scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	6
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	6
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	6
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	7
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	7

Outstanding
(41-50)

Very Good
(31-40)

Good
(21-30)

Poor
(<20)

T. Puthiyangudi 26/11/18
 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2020-2021 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Ms. R. Mahalakshmi

DOI : 10.9.18

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT

ACADEMIC YEAR 20¹⁹ -20²¹ (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Ms. R. Mahalakshmi

DOJ : 10.9.18

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Ms. R. Mahalakshmi

DOJ : 10.9.18

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	7

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2019 -2020(ODD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Ms. R. Mahalakshmi

DOJ : 10.9.18

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding
(41-50)

Very Good
(31-40)

Good
(21-30)

Poor
(<20)

18/9
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018-2019 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Poalcaash - P

DOJ : 6.6.2012

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	7
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	7
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	6
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	6
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	6

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : M. SOORIYA

DOJ : 20-07-2018

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : R. MAHALAKSHMI

DOJ : 10.09.2018

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	6
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	6
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	7

Outstanding
(41-50)

Very Good
(31-40)

Good
(21-30)

Poor
(<20)


HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2018 -2019(ODD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Ms. M. Sooriya

DOJ : 20-7-18

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good


(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017 -2018 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : Ms. R. Mahalakshmi

DOJ : 10.9.18

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-2018 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Kavitha

DOJ : 15.07.2014

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-2018 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : ms. L. Kowsalya.

DOI : 15.07.14

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding
(41-50)

Very Good
(31-40)

Good
(21-30)

Poor
(<20)

[Signature]
14/11/20
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017 -2018 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : P. Pralcaux

DOI : 6.6.2012

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	8
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	7
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	7

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017 -2018 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : *Ms. L. Kowsalya*

DOJ : *15.07.14*

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	8
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-2018 (ODD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Kavitha

DOI : 15-07-2014

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	10
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	10
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)


HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
Puliyalloor, Thiruvananthapuram



ACADEMIC YEAR 2017-2018 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : *P. Prakash*
DOJ : *6.6.2012*

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	<i>6</i>
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	<i>7</i>
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	<i>7</i>
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	<i>6</i>
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	<i>6</i>

Outstanding
(41-50)

Very Good
(31-40)

Good
(21-30)

Poor
(<20)

[Signature]
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : *P. Prakash*

DOJ : *6.6.2016*

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	7
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	7
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	6
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	6
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	7

Outstanding
(41-50)

Very Good
(31-40)

Good
(21-30)

Poor
(<20)

[Signature]
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : S. Kavitha

DOJ : 15.07.2014

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : L. Kowsalya
 DOJ : 15-07-14.

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	8
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

✓
 Outstanding
 (41-50)

Very Good
 (31-40)

Good
 (21-30)

Poor
 (<20)

187
 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



KINGS
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
Pondicherry, Tamil Nadu.



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017(ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : L. Kousalya

DOJ : 15-07-14.

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	9
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	9
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	8
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	8

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT



ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN) ✓

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : *S. Kavitha*

DOI : *15.7.2014*

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	10
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	10
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	9
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	9
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	9

Outstanding

(41-50)

Very Good

(31-40)

Good

(21-30)

Poor

(<20)

[Signature]
HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT


KINGS

 COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
 Pundarikulam, Thanjavur


ACADEMIC YEAR 2016 -2017 (ODD / EVEN)

SUPPORTING STAFF APPRAISAL BY HOD

Name of the Staff : P. Prakash

DOJ : 6.6.2018

(Give ratings on ten point scale with '10' being the best '1' the poorest)

S.NO	QUESTIONNAIRE	Mark
1.	Availability Does the staff available during the entire lab time?	7
2.	Knowledge Does the staff explain the solution for the problem reported?	7
3.	Communication Does the staff able to communicate effectively?	6
4.	Relationship Is the staff cooperative and able to get along well with students?	6
5.	Effectiveness Does the staff make effective contributions in maintaining the Lab.	6

 Outstanding
(41-50)

 Very Good
(31-40)

 Good
(21-30)

 Poor
(<20)


 HEAD OF THE DEPARTMENT